1 2	DUANE S. HORNING (California Bar No (admitted pro hac vice) CALIFORNIA BUSINESS LAW GROU		
3	Symphony Towers 750 B Street, Suite 1620	, -	
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619-325-1555		
5	Fax: 619-325-1559 dhorning@cblg.biz		
6	Attorneys for HIRE A HELPER LLC, a C	`alifornia	
7	limited liability company; MICHAEL GL AMY GLANZ, Defendants	ANZ and	
8		ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
10			
11	U-Haul International, Inc., a Nevada corporation; eMove, Inc., a Nevada	CASE NO. CV 08-1271-PHX-DGC	
12	corporation,	REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE IN	
13	Plaintiffs,	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO OPPOSE	
14	v.	PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER WITH NOTICE	
15	Hire A Helper, LLC, a California limited liability company; Michael Glanz and	(First Request)	
16	Amy Glanz, husband and wife; John and Jane Does I – X; ABC Partnerships I –	SPECIAL APPEARANCE	
17	X; XYZ Corporations I – X,	Judge: HON. DAVID G. CAMPBELL	
18	Defendants.	Judge. HON, DAVID G. CAMFBELL	
19		]	
20	Defendants HIDE A HELDED LLC	Co California limitad liability agencemy ("Iling	
21		C, a California limited liability company ("Hire	
22	_	IY GLANZ, hereby file this Reply to plaintiffs'	
23		ioned motion for an extension of time to oppose	
24		unction. This Motion and reply are made as	
25	special appearances, whereas defendants h	nave filed a motion to dismiss for lack of	
	personal jurisdiction and improper venue, or in the alternative to transfer, and have not filed an answer or generally appeared in this matter.		
27			
28			
20		1	
CALIFORNIA BUSINESS LAW GROUP, PC		CASE NO. CV 08-1271-PHX-DGC	

Plaintiffs state that defendants were sitting on their hands and that defendants' Motion to Dismiss was filed prematurely. Neither contention is correct. The first defendant to be served with the summons and complaint, together with the Application for Preliminary Injunction, was served on July 19, 2008, requiring a response to be filed by August 8, 2008. Defendants first hired counsel to represent them in this matter on July 29, 2008. Ten days is a reasonable amount of time to hire counsel, especially when the only notice of any time to respond was the 20 days stated on the summons. Once hired, defendants' counsel attempted to obtain an extension of time to file responsive pleadings. When it was denied, defendants timely responded to the complaint and filed its Motion to Dismiss on August 8, 2008, the last day responsive pleadings were due, to avoid entry of defaults. At the same time, defendants filed this Motion for an extension of time to respond to the Application for Preliminary Injunction.

Due to plaintiffs' unwillingness to provide an extension and the likelihood a ruling on the motion for an extension of time might take some time, defendants worked diligently on their Response and have since filed it. The Response was filed coincidentally on the same day that plaintiffs filed their Response to this motion, wherein they offered an extension of five business days from the order, an accommodation that had earlier been refused. By then it was too late, as defendants' Response to the Application for Preliminary Injunction had already been filed. Rather than sitting on their hands, defendants have filed their own substantive motion, and filed a response to plaintiffs' substantive motion, all within just 17 days after counsel was retained.

Although briefing will already be completed by both sides, defendants continue to believe that good cause exists to have the jurisdiction and venue matters resolved before holding a hearing on the preliminary injunction. There is no demonstrated emergency in this case that would justify having the preliminary injunction decided first. Plaintiffs have known about the Hire a Helper website since at least November of 2007, which is the date Exhibit F1 was printed out (as attached to the Statement of Facts filed in Support of the Application for Preliminary Injunction). Plaintiffs have not denied that the Verification

1	for the Complaint was originally prepared in April 2008, although not signed until July 2	; '•
2	2008. Plaintiffs also registered the copyright attached as Exhibit A to the Complaint in	
3	April 2008, which is a prerequisite to filing a lawsuit for copyright infringement, further	
4	indicating that plaintiffs were likely already considering a lawsuit at least by April 2008.	
5	There is no emergency shown here.	
6	At this point, defendants' request to extend the time for later filing of papers has	
7	been overcome by events and is now moot. However, defendants respectfully request that	at
8	their Motion to Dismiss be heard and decided before the preliminary injunction is set for	
9	hearing or otherwise resolved.	
10	Respectfully submitted,	
11	Dated: August 19, 2008 CALIFORNIA BUSINESS LAW GROUP, PC	
12		
13	By s/s Duane S. Horning	
14	Attorneys for HIRE A HELPER LLC, a California limited liability company; MICHAEL GLANZ and AMY GLANZ,	
15	Defendants	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
NIEGO	3	

CALIFORNIA BUSINESS LAW GROUP, PC

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that on August 19, 2008, I electronically transmitted the attached	
3	document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and copy was simultaneously	
4	e-mailed to:	
5	Donald Wilson, Jr. Sarah L. Barnes	
6	Broening Oberg Woods & Wilson	
7	P.O. Box 20527 Phoenix, AZ 85036	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	s/s Christy Gulino Jones	
10	5/3 Christy Guillo Johes	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
CALIFORNIA BUSINESS	CASE NO. CV 09 1271 DHY DCC	
LAW GROUP, PC	CASE NO. CV 08-1271-PHX-DGC	